

Shri Raj Kumar, S/o Shri Roshan Lal, (M: 98787-68698), H.No. 1365-LT3, Sector-1, Talwara Township.

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o Administrative Officer-2, Water Resources Department, Branch-2, Punjab, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Chief Engineer, Irrigation Department, Punjab, Sector 18, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 271 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Raj Kumar, appellant is absent. Shri Nirmal Kumar, Sr. Asstt. (9417608009) is present on behalf of Shri Davinder Singh, SPIO-cum-Admn. Officer-2, Water Resources Department, Branch-2, Punjab, Chd.

ORDER

Shri Raj Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 14.10.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-Administrative Officer-2, Water Resources Department, Branch-2, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the requisite information along with the demand draft of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has been provided by hand to the appellant on 23.03.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 25.03.2021, diarized vide no.6904, which is a copy of letter no.1108 dated 02.03.2021.

I have gone through letter no.1108 dated 02.03.2021 vide which point-wise information/reply along with demand draft no.236789 dated 01.03.2021 of Rs.3,000/- of compensation has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has made a duly signed noting on the said letter "Received" on 23.03.2021.

As the requisite information/reply has been provided to the appellant and the amount of compensation of Rs.3,000/- has also been paid to the appellant vide demand draft, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Aller A	ਰ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕ	ANNE
Punjab	PSIC	lission
Sta	the Information	S

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No. 40-41, Central Town, Village Daad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 802 of 2020

Present:- Er. Arun Garg, complainant/applicant is present. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell, is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Er. Arun Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that point-wise information/reply has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 24.02.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.125-D dated 24.02.2021 along with photocopy of postal receipt dated 24.02.2021, point-wise information/reply and copies of information.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information/reply has been sent to him by the SPIO. He further stated that penal action should be taken against the SPIO for the delay in providing the information.

The respondent submitted that the information is delayed due to COVID-19 situation and assured the Commission that in future the information would be provided to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO, but he has not specifically mentioned that which part of the information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the point-wise information/reply sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has replied each and every point of the RTI application and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Internet	ਰਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅ	ALL A
Punjab Sto	PSIC	onnission
	hformation	

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com Er. Arun Garg, S/o Shri Sham Lal, H.No. 40-41, Central Town, Village Daad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police,

Public Information Officer.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Vs

.....Complainant.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 803 of 2020

Present:- Er. Arun Garg, complainant/applicant is present. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell, is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Ludhiana.

Er. Arun Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The respondent stated that point-wise information/reply has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 24.02.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.127-D dated 24.02.2021 along with point-wise information/reply and copies of information.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information/reply has been sent to him by the SPIO. He further stated that penal action should be taken against the SPIO for the delay in providing the information.

The respondent submitted that the information is delayed due to COVID-19 situation and assured the Commission that in future the information would be provided to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

The complainant/applicant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO, but he has not specifically mentioned that which part of the information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the point-wise information/reply sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has replied each and every point of the RTI application and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.



Ms. Aditi Garg, D/o Er. Arun Garg, H.No. 40-41, Central Town, Village Daad, P.O. Lalton Kalan, Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

.....Respondent

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 805 of 2020

Present:- Mr. Arun Garg is present on behalf of Ms. Aditi Garg, complainant/applicant. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell, is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Ms. Aditi Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.04.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Mr. Arun Garg is present on behalf of Ms. Aditi Garg, complainant/applicant and ASI Ramesh Kumar is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the information/reply has been sent to the applicant through registered post on 24.02.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.123-D dated 24.02.2021 along with copy of letter no.03 dated 23.02.2021 and copy of letter no.4309 dated 20.02.2021.

The representative of the complainant/applicant stated that incorrect information/reply has been provided by the SPIO. He further stated that penal action should be taken against the SPIO for the delay in providing the information.

The respondent submitted that the information is delayed due to COVID-19 situation and assured the Commission that in future the information would be provided to the information seekers within the stipulated period.

The representative of the complainant/applicant stated that incorrect information/reply has been provided by the SPIO, but he has not specifically mentioned that which part of the information is incorrect.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information/reply sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

The PSiC Using the Arter and Arter a

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, H.No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Distt. Sangrur.

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

.....Appellant.

Appeal Case No. 4024 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant is absent.
ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell, is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.
O R D E R

Shri Prem Kumar Rattan, appellant filed RTI application dated 21.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 02.03.2021, the appellant has come present in the office of the SPIO on 03.03.2021 for the inspection of the concerned record, but after the inspection the appellant stated that the said information is already with him.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.AC-4024/20/RTI dated 04.05.2021.

I have gone through the above said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the appellant has visited the office of the SPIO on 03.03.2021 for the inspection of the record related with UID No. 1293408/W/DGP/PUNJAB dated 15.03.2018, but after the inspection the appellant stated that the said information is already with him. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the appellant was informed that no other information is available in the office and the requisite information can be obtained from the office of the ADGP, NRI Wing, SAS Nagar.

The appellant has also sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he has visited the office of the SPIO and inspected the related file, but the requisite information has not been provided to him by the respondents.

As per the reply of the SPIO that the appellant has inspected the related file and he was informed that no other information is available in the office and the requisite information can be obtained from the office of the ADGP, NRI Wing, SAS Nagar, so the appellant is advised to obtain the information from the concerned office by filing a fresh RTI application. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, H.No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street No. 8, Maha Singh Nagar, P.O. Dhandri Kalan, Ludhiana.

Vs

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4019 of 2020

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant is absent. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell, is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the attested copies of requisite information have been provided by hand to the appellant on 04.05.2021 and the appellant has executed a duly signed written statement after receiving the information.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.AC-4019/20/RTI dated 04.05.2021 along with a written statement dated 04.05.2021 duly signed by the appellant, Shri Amarjit Singh.

I have gone through the above said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that the attested copies of information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 04.05.2021. I have also gone through the duly signed written statement of the appellant and he has submitted that he has received the requisite information on 04.05.2021 and also requested to close the case.

As the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by the SPIO and the appellant has executed a duly signed written statement that he has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, H.No. 60-35-P-376-1, Street No. 8, Maha Singh Nagar, P.O. Dhandri Kalan, Ludhiana.

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

.....Appellant.

Appeal Case No. 4017 of 2020

Present:- Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant is absent. ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell, is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, appellant filed RTI application dated 19.09.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the requisite information cannot be provided to the appellant as the inquiry regarding the information sought by the appellant is still under process.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.AC-4017/20/RTI dated 03.05.2021 along with a copy of letter no.119-D dated 23.02.2021.

I have gone through letter no.AC-4017/20/RTI dated 03.05.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the inquiry regarding the information sought by the appellant is still pending before ADCP, Head Quarter, Ludhiana so the information cannot be provided U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005 and whenever the inquiry will be completed the information will be sent to the appellant.

So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the concerned inquiry will be completed, then the attested copies of sought for information be sent to the appellant through registered post within 15 days from the completion of inquiry.

> (Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 11.05.2021

THE PSIC

Shri George Subh, S/o Shri Rustam Masih, R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12, Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

Vs

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIG, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4044 of 2020

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is absent. ASI Amarjeet Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

ORDER

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 27.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 02.03.2021 passed by the Commission the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.489 dated 13.04.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.529 dated 20.04.2021 along with a copy of letter no.489 dated 13.04.2021 and a photocopy of postal receipt dated 16.04.2021.

I have gone through letter no.529 dated 20.04.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the requisite information has been sent to the appellant through registered post.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.



Shri George Subh, S/o Shri Rustam Masih, R/o Begowal, Ward No. 12, Tehsil Bholath, Distt. Kapurthala.

.....Appellant.

Public Information Officer, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIG, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4043 of 2020

Vs

Present:- Shri George Subh, appellant is absent. ASI Harpreet Singh, 315/ RTI Branch, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Ludhiana is present.

ORDER

Shri George Subh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that in compliance to the Order dated 02.03.2021 passed by the Commission the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.489 dated 13.04.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.530 dated 20.04.2021 along with a copy of letter no.489 dated 13.04.2021 and a photocopy of postal receipt dated 16.04.2021.

I have gone through letter no.530 dated 20.04.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the requisite information has been sent to the appellant through registered post.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Dated: 11.05.2021